	Case 2:22-cv-00836-DAD-CKD Docume	ent 21 Filed 11/08/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	EDWARD RINGGOLD,	No. 2:22-cv-00836-DAD-CKD (PS)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS
14	BURGETT INC., et al.,	
15	Defendants.	(Doc. Nos. 9, 17)
16		
17	Plaintiff Edward Ringgold, proceeding <i>pro se</i> , initiated this civil action on May 18, 2022.	
18	(Doc. No. 1.) This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	
19	§ 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On October 14, 2022, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations	
21	recommending that defendants' motion to dismiss this action due to insufficient service of	
22	process (Doc. No. 9) be denied, without prejudice. (Doc. No. 17.) The magistrate judge also	
23	recommended that plaintiff be granted a 30-day extension of time from the date of this order to	
24	effectuate service of process on defendants. (Id. at 6.) Those pending findings and	
25	recommendations were served on the parties and contained notice that any objections thereto	
26	were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (Id. at 6.) To date, no objections to the	
27	pending findings and recommendations have been filed, and the time in which to do so has now	
28	passed.	
		1

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a de novo review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. Accordingly, 1. The findings and recommendations issued on October 14, 2022 (Doc. No. 17) are adopted in full; 2. Defendants' motion to dismiss (Doc. No. 9) is denied, without prejudice; 3. Plaintiff shall effectuate service on defendants under Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure no later than 30 days from the date of entry of this order; and 4. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further proceedings. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: November 8, 2022

Case 2:22-cv-00836-DAD-CKD Document 21 Filed 11/08/22 Page 2 of 2